Strategic Risk Register and Action Plan

Risk Number 1 Risk Owner: Chris Leslie DATE: June 2015

Business Risk Description: Finance Pressures

Trigger	Consequences	Existing Controls	Likelihood	Impact	Risk Rating to date
Failure or significant reduction of income streams and external funding	 Council unable to meet budget requirements Staffing and service level 	 Medium Term Financial Planning is undertaken on an annual basis Monthly Budget Monitoring 	3	4	12
Significant change in priorities – influenced by either demand, political vision or legislation	reductionsGreater use of reserves to maintain a balanced budget	Half year reports to MembersA Funding Volatility Reserve has been created to specifically			Retain
 Unplanned expenditure as a result of urgent works Expenditure incurred where no budgetary provision exists 	 Working balance levels fall below the risk assessed level Increased Council Tax Increase in charges 	 address the uncertainty of Government funding levels Healthy reserves and working balance levels held 			Review Date
 Target levels for income are not achieved Target efficiency savings are not achieved 					August 2015

Effectiveness of controls	Further Action Required	Target Date for completion	Officer(s) Responsible
Savings targets will be monitored throughout the year in addition to monthly budget monitoring on the	Savings for 2016/17 and 2017/18 will begin to be drafted with the aim of having approval by December 2015.	June - Initial Savings Developed	Chris Leslie, Finance Director
Collaborative Planning module which requires sign off from Budget Managers and Heads of Service.		August – Detailed savings plans drafted	
		October – Member and public consultation	
		December – Savings approved.	

Risk Number 2 Risk Owner: Gordon Glenday DATE: June 2015

Business Risk Description: Local Development Plan

Trigger	Consequences	Existing Controls	Likelihood	Impact	Risk Rating to date
Failure of Council to adopt a Plan in line with National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF) Lack of If you would like to discuss this, please do not hesitate to	 Planning applications judged against NPPF 'in favour of sustainable development' Development permitted in locations on an ad-hoc basis Potential appeal costs 	 Meeting targets set out in the Plan timetable (Local Development Scheme) Ongoing discussion with neighbouring Local Planning Authorities 	3	4	12 Reduce
contact me on ext 2821.formal agreement through Duty to Cooperate	 Staff resource implications to deal with increased applications Lack of necessary infrastructure 	Recruitment of permanent staff to fill posts in Planning Policy Team (August 2014), reduced			Review Date
 Failure to adopt Community Infrastructure Levy (CIL) Loss/long term absence of staff Recruitment difficulties 	funding Delay to Plan preparation and lack of necessary expertise & experience	reliance on agency & temp staff			August 2105

Effectiveness of controls	Further Action Required	Target Date for completion	Officer(s) Responsible
Current adopted Plan timetable now out of date, CIL Preliminary Charging Rates agreed by Strategy & Policy Board (19.03.14) but consultation has yet to take place	 Agreement of a new Plan timetable Agreement through Duty to Cooperate with neighbouring Local Planning Authorities regarding cross-boundary issues Agreement of key issues to inform proposed spatial strategy for quantum and locations of new development Completion of technical evidence to inform emerging Plan policies Consultation on CIL Preliminary Draft Charging Schedule and further work to enable CIL adoption by April 2016 deadline 	LDP – July 2017 CIL – April 2016	Gordon Glenday, Head of Planning Phil Drane, Planning Policy Team Leader

Risk Number 3	Risk Owner: Ashley Culverwell & Steve Summers (re IT requirements)	DATE: June 2015
Business Risk Description: Disaster Recovery/C	ontinuity Planning	

Trigger	Consequences	Existing Controls	Likelihood	Impact	Risk Rating to date
 Failure to respond effectively to an incident/event (e.g IT loss, virus/flu pandemic) Failure to provide critical services Failure to identify critical 	 Ineffective response to an incident causes service disruption Unable to deliver key services Possible loss of income Staff absence 	 Most services already have Business Continuity Plans in place Civil Contingency Act Insurance cover Alternative fuel stocks/supplies 	2	5	10 Reduce
suppliersLack of resilience of local businesses	Vulnerable residents at risk through lack of service delivery	Pandemic flu plan			Review Date
					August 2015

Effectiveness of controls	Further Action Required	Target Date for completion	Officer(s) Responsible
Plans in place have not been updated regularly and testing infrequent.	 Internal exercises to test the adequacy of Business Continuity Plans Intranet development for Business Continuity and Emergency Planning information Exercises to test resilience of Gold Command & Emergency Planning measures that are in place 	Nov 2015	Mark Stanbury, Senior Environmental Health Officer Sue White, Risk & Insurance Officer Departmental managers Risk Management/CLB
	IT and Parking to provide up to date Business Continuity Recovery Plan	June 2015	Steve Summers, Head of Customer Services & Tim Huggins, ICT Manager

Risk Number 4 Risk Owner: Phil Ruck DATE: June 2015

Business Risk Description: Organisational Capacity

Trigger	Consequences	Existing Controls	Likelihood	Impact	Risk Rating to date
 Lack of capacity to effectively govern the organisation Loss/sickness of key staff Failure to focus on staff wellbeing and development 	 Poor staff morale Poor communications Inability to deliver effective and efficient services Poor delivery of aspirations and 	 MTFP Communications Protocol and Strategy Workforce Strategy Staff Survey (and Action Plan) 	3	4	12 Reduce
Failure to build relationships with residents and business communities	 priorities Inefficient use of resources Breakdown of Officer and Member relations 	 Peer Review (and Action Plan) Regular meetings between Senior Members and Officers Staff Bulletins and Briefings 			Review Date
	Wombor rolations	Review options for alternative service delivery models			August 2015

Effectiveness of controls	Further Action Required	Target Date for completion	Officer(s) Responsible
As a small Authority – changes in priorities will always present a challenge in terms of flexibility and	Develop a clear and concrete vision for the Council in order that resource requirements can be scoped	30/09/2015 (after consultation)	Phil Ruck, Head of Paid Service
capacity to deliver. Financial constraints (also set out in RSK 1) places pressure on maintaining effective service delivery	Continuous programme of service reviews to evaluate alternative options for service delivery	Ongoing	Relevant Managers

Risk Number 5	Risk Owner: Chris Potter	DATE: June 2015
Business Risk Description: Information Manager	nent and Security	

Trigger	Consequences	Existing Controls	Likelihood	Impact	Risk Rating to date
 Data held by the Council ends up in inappropriate hands Little or no awareness of data collected internally – poor information sharing Lack of resources for IT 	 Breach of corporate governance Increased costs and legal implications Reputation damaged 	Data Protection Policy	3	5	15 Reduce
integration					Review Date
					August 2015

Effectiveness of controls	Further Action Required	Target Date for completion	Officer(s) Responsible
Weak, arising from a lack of an integrated approach to information management across the Council.	 Review existing Data Protection Policy Raise awareness of the importance of information to the Council and the individual Produce a co-ordinated approach to information management and security Training is being rolled out to Members and Officers. Induction training to members was delivered on 17 June 2015. 	31/11/2015	Christopher Potter, Monitoring Officer and Head of Support Services

Risk Number 6	Risk Owner: Steve Summers	DATE: June 2015
Business Risk Description: Customer Access St	rategy	

Trigger	Consequences	Existing Controls	Likelihood	Impact	Risk Rating to date
 No Customer Access Strategy produced and approved by end Dec 2014 Target efficiency savings are not achieved Unable to provide/meet customer 	 Non realization of savings/ benefits if this is not in place £250K of savings at risk by 31 March 2015 Failure to provide effective Customer Service 	 Not in place as Customer Access Strategy not produced Resources now in place. 	1	4	4 Retain
service performance levels	 Lack of integration with other Council services Failure to align ICT and Customer Access Strategies will 				Review Date
	inhibit ability to transform Customer Services				August 2015

Effectiveness of controls	Further Action Required	Target Date for completion	Officer(s) Responsible
Not applicable until Customer Access Strategy approved	Customer Access Strategy and Action Plan approved by Finance & Resources Committee on 14 th January 2015	31/01/2015	Steve Summers, Head of Customer Services

Risk Number 7 Risk Owner: Phil Ruck DATE: June 2015

Business Risk Description: Commercial Activities

Trigger	Consequences	Existing Controls	Likelihood	Impact	Risk Rating to date	
 Business plans not agreed Individual service income not realised or income generation below projections 	 Council unable to meet budget requirements Staffing and service level reductions 	 Medium Term Financial Planning is undertaken on an annual basis with monitoring arrangements Monthly Budget Monitoring 	2	4	8 Reduce	
 Business models reveal poor market prospects or fail Income not realized due to weak commercial company arrangements (including poor 	 Spending/service cutbacks Greater use of reserves if required net savings are not achieved Increased Council Tax 	 Greater use of reserves if required net savings are not achieved Increased Council Tax arrangements Regular reports to Asset and Enterprise committee to provide close monitoring 	 Quarterly monitoring arrangements Regular reports to Asset and Enterprise committee to provide close monitoring 			Review Date
Governance)	 Increase in charges Ineffective application of business model and company fails (services move back in- house) 	Robust business modeling and financial projections			August 2015	

Effectiveness of controls	Further Action Required	Target Date for completion	Officer(s) Responsible
In early stages but all aspects of this are being closely monitored by all parties (senior officers and Members)	 More reporting on progress Services to include updates within their service plans Sound legal and financial advice to support the creation of a commercial company Agree commercial vehicle requirements for the Council Develop a business case to support the commercial activity Monitoring activities via a Task Force 	Sept 2015 31/01/2016 31/12/2015	Phil Ruck, Head of Paid Service Phil Ruck, Head of Paid Service & Steve Summers, Head of Customer Services

Risk Number 8	Risk Owner: Phil Ruck	DATE: June 2015			
Business Risk Description: Contract/Partnership Failure					

Trigger	Consequences	Existing Controls	Likelihood	Impact	Risk Rating to date
Key partnership fails or services provided via arrangements lacking adequate governance	 Lack of accountability Resources wasted Financial losses Objectives not met SLA's embedded within contract and penalties in place for non performance Regular reporting on contract performance Escalation and governance in 		2	4	8 Reduce
		place			Review Date
					August 2015

Effectiveness of controls	Further Action Required	Target Date for completion	Officer(s) Responsible
Controls are governed by contract and are in a good situation	 Continue to fine tune reporting Hold regular meetings with suppliers Engage relevant HoS (where applicable) New performance reports established and submitted to F&R Committee Continue to challenge existing performance indicators 	Ongoing	Phil Ruck, Head of Paid Service

Risk Number 9 Risk Owner: Phil Ruck DATE: June 2015

Business Risk Description: Lack of Strategic Direction

Trigger	Consequences	Existing Controls	Likelihood	Impact	Risk Rating to date
 Lack of long term strategic planning Lack of relationship with residents, business communities and partners 	 Failure to adapt to policy/legislative changes Poor performance management Poor morale Poor delivery of 	 Corporate Plan Training and Development for Officers and Members Code of Conduct Consultation/Surveys 	3	4	12 Reduce
	priorities/aspirations Inefficient use of resources Reputation undermined	Project and Performance Management Framework			Review Date
	Failure to communicate effectivelyLack of community engagement				August 2015

Effectiveness of controls	Further Action Required	Target Date for completion	Officer(s) Responsible
Some improvements required	Develop a clear and concrete vision for the Council in order that resource requirements can be scoped and the vision can be communicated internally and externally	30/09/2015	Phil Ruck, Head of Paid Service

Risk Number 10 Risk Owner: Helen Gregory DATE: June 2015
Business Risk Description: Failure to spend Capital Receipts

Trigger	Consequences	Existing Controls	Likelihood	Impact	Risk Rating to date
Delays in delivering Affordable Housing programme	In the event that the Receipts are not spent then all or the outstanding balance of the	Monitored by finance teamAffordable housing programme	2	5	15
	specific identified sums has to be paid to DCLG with interest at 4% above Base Rate from receipt.		3	3	Reduce
	Reputation damage externally with HCA/EHOG and press coverage		Reduce likeli	ntrol	Review Date
	coverage.		measures issued to purchase properties		August 2015

Effectiveness of controls	Further Action Required	Target Date for completion	Officer(s) Responsible
 Improve monitoring arrangements to CLB level Immediate action has been implemented to mitigate risk of delays to affordable housing development programme by instructing the asset management team to purchase 2 x 3 bedroom properties 	Capital receipts placed on strategic risk register and monitored at CLB meetings	Sep -15 £168,347.77 Dec-15 £940,485.57 Mar-16 £446,052.23	Helen Gregory, Head of Housing